Traffic Safety: No benefit - Evidence (NHTSA, GVD)

Distracters: A critical number has been exceeded
  http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_DK_xAZjvaI

Light Intensity: Critical thresholds have been exceeded
  http://ub.meduniwien.ac.at/blog/?p=14844

Spectral Distribution: Short wavelength percentage of artificial lights: too high
  Yellow improves Contrast Vision, reduces threat of phototoxicity

Glare and Dazzling Effects: are approaching pain thresholds (DRL and headlights - Laser-like)
  http://www.lightmare.org/

Legal Implications: DRL violates:
  The Law in Respect of the Protection of Infants (1969)
  The Declaration of Human Rights (1948) Article Three
  The Convention Concerning the Power of Authority
  The Principle of Equality
  Public Ethics and Morals
  The Bond of Protection
  The Laws of Logic
  Attorney-at-Law Dr G Sander MA Mag rer publ

Children - most at risk in road traffic: - at crosswalks ('Schutzweg' i.e: 'Way of Protection')
  http://www.childrensrights.org/

Inattentional Blindness: Trucks and trains and streetcars, even garishly bright street lights can be
  overlooked i.e. not recognized, not perceived due to faults in cognitive processing, caused by distraction
  (DRL, glare etc)

Coming Generations: Avoidable unphysiologic increase of light - amount and light - intensity causes
  perpetuated undesired side-effects: Enhanced misuse of the drug light will be passed on via epigentical
  imprinting - insidiously - ad limitum.

* Benefit of Daytime Ruinning Lights (DRL): Question mark
  No benefit. Not even for unprincipled lobbyists
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