

DRL*: CUI BONO ?

Traffic Safety: No benefit - Evidence (NHTSA, GVD)

Distracters: A critical number has been exceeded
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_DK_xAZjvaI

Light Intensity: Critical thresholds have been exceeded
<http://ub.meduniwien.ac.at/blog/?p=14844>

Spectral Distribution: Short wavelength percentage of artificial lights: too high
Yellow improves Contrast Vision, reduces threat of phototoxicity

Glare and Dazzling Effects: are approaching pain thresholds (DRL and headlights - Laser-like)
<http://www.lightmare.org/>

Legal Implications: DRL violates:

The Law in Respect of the Protection of Infants (1969)
The Declaration of Human Rights (1948) Article Three
The Convention Concerning the Power of Authority
The Principle of Equality
Public Ethics and Morals
The Bond of Protection
The Laws of Logic

Attorney-at-Law Dr G Sander MA Mag rer publ

Children - most at risk in road traffic: - at crosswalks ('Schutzweg' i.e. 'Way of Protection')
<http://www.childrensrights.org/>

Inattentional Blindness: Trucks and trains and streetcars, even garishly bright street lights can be overlooked i.e. not recognized, not perceived due to faults in cognitive processing, caused by distraction (DRL, glare etc)

Coming Generations: Avoidable unphysiologic increase of light - amount and light - intensity causes perpetuated undesired side-effects: Enhanced misuse of the drug light will be passed on via epigenetical imprinting - insiduously - ad limitum.

* **Benefit of Daytime Ruinning Lights (DRL): Question mark**
No benefit. Not even for unprincipled lobbyists

Resumé: DRL-OUT. Worldwide. Immediately. Sine ira et studio. Period

Peter Heilig MD Univ Prof
Department of Ophthalmology and Optometry Univ. of Vienna/Austria